AO 245B	(Rev. 09/08) Case 4:09-cr-00794-HEA Document 74 *SEALED* Filed 04/28/10 Page 1 of 4
	MANUEL ARNULFO Judgment-Page of 6
	ENDANT: BARRERA-GUERRERO E NUMBER: S1-4:09CR00794HEA
Distri	ct: Eastern District of Missouri
	STATEMENT OF REASONS (Not for Public Disclosure)
1	COURT FINDINGS ON PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT
	The court adopts the presentence investigation report with out change.
	B The court adopts the presentence investigation report with the following changes. (Check all that apply and specify court determination, findings, or comments, referencing paragraph numbers in the presentence report, if applicable.) (Use page 4 if necessary)
	Chapter Two of the U.S.S.G Manual determinations by court (including changes to base offense level or specific offense characteristics):
	Chapter Three of the U.S.S.G Manual adjustment determinations by court (including changes to victim-related adjustments, role in the offense, obstruction of justice, multiple counts, or acceptance of responsibility):
	Chapter Four of the U.S.S.G Manual determinations by court (including changes to criminal history category or scores, career offender, or criminal livelihood determinations):
	Additional Comments or Findings (including comments or factual findings concerning certain information in the presentence report that the Federal Bureau of Prisons may rely on when it makes inmate classification, designation, or programming decisions):
	The record establishes no need for a presentence investigation report pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P.32
11	• 🗀
II COURT FINDING ON MANDATORY MINIMUM SENTENCE (Check all that apply.)	
	A No count of conviction carries a mandatory minimum sentence. B Mandatory minimum sentence imposed.
	B Mandatory minimum sentence imposed. C One or more counts of conviction alleged in the indictment carry a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment, but the sentence imposed is below a mandatory minimum term because the court has determined that the mandatory minimum does not apply based on
	findings of fact in this case
	substantial assistance (18 U.S.C § 3553(e))
	the statutory safety valve (18 U.S.C § 3553(f))
111	COURT DETERMINATION OF ADVISORY GUIDELINE RANGE (BEFORE DEPARTURES):
	Total Offense Level: 21
	Criminal History Category:
	Imprisonment Range: 37 to 46 months Supervised Release Range: 2 to 3 years
	Supervised Release Range: 2 to 3 years Fine Range: \$7,500.00 to \$75,000.00
	Fine waived or below the guideline range because of inability to pay.

A	245B (F	Rev. 09/08) Judgment in Criminal Case Atta	chrment (Page 2) - Statement of Reasons	
		MANUEL ARNULFO NDANT: BARRERA-GUERRERO NUMBER: S1-4:09CR00794HEA		Judgment-Page of 6
	Distric	t: Eastern District of Missouri	STATEMENT OF REASONS (Not for Public Disclosure)	;
١٧	AD'	VISORY GUIDELINE SENTENCING	DETERMINATION (Check only one.)	
	Α		ruideline range that is not greater than 24 months,	and the court finds no reason to depart.
	В	The sentence is within and advisoriy (Use page 4 if neccessary.)	guideline range that is greater than 24 months, and	d the specific sentence is imposed for these reasons.
	С	The court departs from the advisory g (Also complete Section V.)	uideline range for reasons authorized by the sente	ncing guidelines manual.
	D	☐ The court imposed a sentence outside	the advisory sentencing guideline system. (Also o	complete Section VI.)
v	DEF A B	The sentence imposed departs (Check only below the advisory guideline range above the advisory guideline range Departure based on (Check all that apply. Plea Agreement (Check all that apply. SK1.1 plea agreement based on binding plea agreement for departure, where the plea agreement that states that Moton Not Addressed in a Plea Agreement motion base states that states that states that the plea agreement motion for departure to defense motion for departure to defense motion for departure to the states defense motion for departure to defense motion for departure to the states advised the sentence of the sentence	ly and check reason(s) below.): It the defendant's substantial assistance It Early Disposition or "Fast-track" Program Porture accepted by the court It which the court finds to be reasonable It government will not oppose a defense departure It government will not oppose a defense departure It government will that apply and check reason(s) It do not the defendant's substantial assistance It do not be a compared to the government did not object It which the government did not object It which the government objected It would be a compared to the compared to the compared to the government objected It would be a compared to the compared to the compared to the government objected It would be a compared to the compa	re motion. below.):
	5H1.1 A 5H1.2 E 5H1.3 N 5H1.4 P 5H1.5 E 5H1.6 F 5H1.11 Good W	Education and Vocational Skills Aental and Emotional Condition Physical Condition Employment Record Pamily Ties and Responsibilities Military Record, Charitable Services,	5K2.1 Death 5K2.2 Physical Injury 5K2.3 Extreme Psychological Injury 5K2.4 Abduction or Unlawful Restraint 5K2.5 Property Damage or Loss 5K2.6 Weapons or Dangerous Weapon 5K2.7 Disruption of Government Function 5K2.8 Extreme Conduct 5K2.9 Criminal Purpose 5K2.10 Victim's Conduct	5K2.11 Lesser Harm 5K2.12 Coercion and Duress 5K2.13 Diminished Capacity 5K2.14 Public Welfare 5K2.16 Voluntary Disclosure of Offense 5K2.17 High-Capacity Semiautomatic Firearm 5K2.18 Violent Street Gang 5K2.20 Aberrant Behavior 5K2.21 Dismissed and Uncharged Conduct 5K2.22 Age or Health of Sex Offenders 5K2.23 Discharged Terms of Imprisonment Other guideline basis (e.g., 2b1.1 commentary)

Explain the facts justifying the departure. (use page 4 if necessary.)

D

O 245B (Rev	. 09/08) Case 4: U9-C1-U0/94-F	trachment (Page 3) - Statement of Reasons	Filed 04/28/10 Page 3 012	<u> </u>
DEFEN	MANUEL ARNULFO DANT: BARRERA-GUERRERO		Judgment-Page	of <u>6</u>
	IUMBER: S1-4:09CR00794HEA			
District:	Eastern District of Missouri	STATEMENT OF REAS((Not for Public Disclosure)	ONS	
A COU	IRT DETERMINATION FOR SE The sentence imposed is (Check only below the advisory guideline range above the advisory guideline range	e	' GUIDELINE SYSTEM (Check all t	hat apply.)
В	Sentence imposed pursuant to (Check			
_	·	t apply and check reason(s) below.):		
	plea agreement for a sentent plea agreement that states the system	a sentence outside the advisory guideline system ce outside the advisory guideline system, which that the government will not oppose a defense to	th the court finds to be reasonable motion to the court to sentence outside the	ndvisory guideline
		ea Agreement (Check all that apply and check		
		entence outside of the advisory guideline system		
		ce outside of the advisory guideline system to ace outside of the advisory guideline system to		
	3 Other	the outside of the advisory guideline system to	William are Boyelimians appared	
	· ·	t or motion by the parties for for a sentence ou	tside of the advisory guideline system (che	ck reason(s)
С	Reason(s) for Sentence Outside the A	Advisory Guideline System (Check all that ap	oply.)	
	the nature and circumstance to reflect the seriousness of §3553(a)(2)(A))	es of the offense and the history and characteri the offense, to promote respect for the law, ar	stics of the defendant pursuant to 18 U.S.C and to provide just punishment for the offens	§3553(a)(1) e (18 U.S.C
	to afford adequate deterrence	ce to criminal conduct (18 U.S.C §3553(a)(2)(B))	
	to protect the public from fu	urther crimes of the defendant (18 U.S.C. §355	53(a)(2)(C))	
		th needed educational or vocational training, r	nedical care, or other correctional treatment	in the most
	effective manne (18 U.S.C. to avoid unwarranted senter to provide restitution to any	§3553(a)(2)(D)) noing disparities among defendants (18 U.S.C) victims of the offense (18 U.S.C. §3553(a)(7)	:. §3553(a)(6))))	
D		ce outside the advisory guideline system. (U:		

AO 245B (Rev	, 09/08) Case 4:09-cr-00794-HEA Docum	ment 74 *SEALED* Filed 04/28/10 Page 4 of 4
	MANUEL ARNULFO	Judgment-Page
	ANT: BARRERA-GUERRERO JMBER: S1-4:09CR00794HEA	_
CASE NO District:	Eastern District of Missouri	
Diatrict.	Lastern District of Missouri	
		ENT OF REASONS
'II COL	(Not for JRT DETERMINATIONS OF RESTITUTION	Public Disclosure)
A	Restitution Not Applicable.	
В		
С		rwise mandatory under 18 U.S.C. §3663A, restitution is not ordered because the number
		ake restitution impracticable under 18 U.S.C. §3663A(c)(3)(A).
	complex issues of fact and relating them	rwise mandatory under 18 U.S.C. §3663A, restitution is not ordered because determining to the cause or amount of the victims' losses would complicate or prolong the sentencing de restitution to any victim would be outweighed by the burden on the sentencing porces
	3 For other offenses for which restitution is restitution is not ordered because the com	s authorized under 18 U.S.C. §3663 and/or required by the sentencing guidelines, application and prolongateon of the sentencing process resulting from the fashioning of a
	restitution order outweigh the need to pro 4 Restitution is not ordered for other reason	ovide restitution to any victims under 18 U.S.C. §3663(a)(1)(B)(ii).
	The standard is not diacted for outer reason	iis (arptain)
D	Desting continuing in and and for those account	19 II S. C. \$2552(a)).
J	Partial restitution is ordered for these reasons (18 O.S.C. 99333(C)).
III ADI	DITIONAL FACTS JUSTIFYING THE SENTE	NCE IN THIS CASE (if applicable.)
		ement of Reasons form must be completed in all felony cases.
	nts Soc. Sec. None	April 28, 2010
	nt's Date of Birth: July 8, 1989	— Date of Imposition of Judgment
	nt's Residence Address: est Street	
Merced, CA 95341		Signature of Judge
		Henry E. Autrey
		United States District Judge
Defenda	nt's Mailing Address:	Name & Title of Judge
same a	as above	<u> </u>
		April 28, 2010
		Date signed